Our ref: KON-1805 Client's ref: P-6166-001-0000

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

-----X

In re Application of: T. Takabayashi : Art Unit : 2853

Serial No. : 10/621,635

Examiner : M. S.

Filed : July 17, 2003 :

Shah

Title : CONSERVATION METHOD OF

INK FOR INK-JET RECORDING AND IMAGE FORMING METHOD:

----x

## DECLARATION

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

- I, Toshiyuki Takabayashi, hereby declare and say as follows:
- I am the sole inventor of the above-identified patent application.

- 2. I received a Bachelor's Degree in Chemistry from Kyoto
  University in March 1992. Since April 1992, I have
  been employed by Konica Corporation, the Assignee of
  the above-identified patent application. Currently, I
  am employed by Konica Minolta Medical & Graphic, Inc.,
  the successor in interest to this application. During
  my employment at Konica and Konica Minolta, I have
  been engaged in research and development in the field
  of image forming materials.
- 3. I am aware that the Examiner has rejected a number of the claims of the above-identified patent application based on Maxwell (EP 0071345). Tests have been performed and are reported herein to demonstrate the difference between the ink-jet ink of this application and the ink-jet ink of Maxwell.

These tests also show the superior character quality obtained by the present invention. These tests have been performed either by myself or under my direct supervision and control.

4. The ink of Example 4 of Maxwell was prepared following the procedures in Example 4 of Maxwell and named Comparative Ink A. Comparative Ink A contained

volatile organic compounds. The composition of Comparative Ink A is as follows:

Table 1

Ink A (Maxwell Example 4)

Pbw

	Epon 825	15.2
Epoxy resin	ERL 4221	8.1
•	Epoxide 8	4.0
	ECN 1235	5.0
	Methanol	22.7
Solvent	Methyl ethyl ketone	22.7
system	Isopropanol	7:2
<u> </u>	Water	4.5
	BDS photo initiator	9.0
Other	Sprit Soluble Fast violet RR	1.4
FC 439		0.1

Total 100

5. Inventive Ink B was prepared in the same manner as Comparative Ink A except that no volatile organic compounds were present. Inventive Ink B is considered to be representative of the ink of the present application. The composition of Inventive Ink B is as follows:

Table 2

Ink B (Comparative ink)

		Pbw	
	Epon 825	40.0	7
Epoxy resin	ERL 4221	21.3	
	Epoxide 8	10.5	
	ECN 1235	13.1	
Solvent		7	
system			
	Water	4.5	
	BDS photo initiator	9.0	
Other	Sprit Soluble Fast		
component	violet RR	1.4	
	FC 439	0.1	
	Total	100	

6. Comparative Ink A and Inventive Ink B were stored for 2 weeks at 50 °C in a sealed polyethylene container.

Comparative Ink A and Inventive Ink B were then jetted onto 4 different types of recording materials wherein

the ink and recording material combinations were named Condition Nos. 49-56 as shown in Table 3.

Combination of Ink
and Recording material

Table 3

Condition No.	Ink	Recording materials	
. 49	Ink A		
		OPP	
50	. Ink A		
		PET	
. 51	Ink A		
		Shrink OPS	
52	Ink A		
		Cast coat sheet	
53	Ink B	OPP	
54	Ink B	•	
		PET	
55	Ink B	G1 1 1 2 2 2 2	
		Shrink OPS	
56.	Ink B		
		Cast coat sheet	
		<del></del>	

7. The same ink-jet apparatuses and same conditions were employed for the ink-jet processes of both inks, except that the viscosity of Inventive Ink B was adjusted to that of Comparative Ink A by increasing the temperature of the ink-jet head. Ink-jet images were produced under 3 different environments for each of Condition Nos. 49-56; (i) 10 °C and 20% RH; (ii) 25 °C and 50% RH; and (iii) 30 °C and 80% RH. A total of 24 total image samples were therefore obtained, 12 for Comparative Ink A and 12 for Inventive Ink B.

8. The 24 image samples were irradiated in the same manner as described in Table 5 at page 57 of the present application. The 24 cured images were then subjected to an evaluation of character quality as described at pages 60-62 of the present application. The results of this evaluation are illustrated in Table 4.

Table 4

Evaluation results: Character quality

Printing Conditions	10 °C 20% RH	25 °C 50% RH	32 °C 80% RH	Ink
49	С	С	С	Ink A
50	C .	С	С	Ink A
51	С	C	С	Ink A
52	В	С	C	Ink A
53	A	A	В	Ink B
54	А	В	В	Ink B
55 .	В	В .	В	Ink B
56	В	В	. В	Ink B

Table 4, the image samples employing 9. As shown in Inventive Ink B is different than and produced higher quality characters compared to the image employing Comparative Ink A in 11 out of the 12 comparison situations. For example, at 10°C and 20% RH when using the OPP recording material (Condition Nos. 49 and 53), roughness was observed (C) after jetting Comparative Ink A, while no roughness after jetting Inventive Ink B. observed (A) superior character quality of Inventive Ink B can be further seen when comparing Condition No. 49 with Condition No. 53 (OPP recording material) at 25°C and 50% RH, as well as at 32°C and 80% RH. In addition to the above, the superior character quality of Inventive Ink B can also be seen when comparing Condition No. 50 with Condition No. 54 (PET recording material) and Condition No. 51 with Condition No. 55 (shrink OPS recording material) at 10°C and 20% RH, at 25°C and 50% RH, as well as at 32°C and 80% RH. Finally, the superior character quality of Inventive Ink B can be seen when comparing Condition No. 52 and Condition No. 56 (cast coat sheet recording material) at 25°C and 50% RH, as well as at 32°C and 80% RH. Inventive Ink B therefore outperformed Comparative Ink A in 11 out

of the 12 environment condition/recording material combinations.

10. It is my belief that the above test data demonstrates that the preserved ink-jet ink of the present invention having no volatile organic compounds produces superior characters compared to the ink-jet ink of Maxwell. It is further my belief that one of skill in the art would find these results to be surprising and unexpected.

It is declared by undersigned that all statements made herein of undersigned's own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under section 1001 of Title 18 of the U.S. Code; and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of this Application or any patent issuing thereon.

Joshiyuki Jakabayashi
Toshiyuki Takabayashi

Dated: This 2/stday of January , 2005.